

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,213		12/04/2001	Michael T. Tessmer	29757/AG54	6792	
4743	7590	08/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARSHAI	LL, GERS	STEIN & BORUN	JONES, S	JONES, SCOTT E		
6300 SEARS 233 S. WAC		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6		3713		

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/005,213	TESSMER ET A	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott E. Jones	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in	ıed
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MF R 1.136(a) and the appropriate e unt of the fee. The appropriate e originally set in the final Office a	PEP extension extension ction; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	-		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifyir	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amend	lment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NOT place	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	/
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner maintains the position stated in Office Action, Paper No. 13. In particular, the examiner believes Nagao et al. (U.S. 5,645,486) anticipates the claim limitation, "permitting the player to place the at least one entry with one of a set of entries for a first shared bonus event and a set of entries for a second shared bonus event;" as claimed in claim 91. In Nagao et al. a player is qualified for a bonus event (first lottery) when a predetermined outcome is obtained in the first primary game. Based on this qualifying event, the player is given one entry into the first lottery associated with a particular period in the day. That entry is placed and associated with other entries that qualify for that first lottery associated with that particular period in the day. For instance, given a depositing value of "2000", the lottery is supposed to be performed 50 times a day. Therefore, a player can qualify for a second lottery, performed after the first lottery, when a predetermined outcome is also obtained in the second primary game that is performed after the first lottery. Therefore, the player is permitted to place the at least one entry with one of the set of entries for a shared bonus event (lottery).

SEJ

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER